· ·	. 60	LICITOR
AO 120 (Rev. 2/99)	. 30	
P.	3. Patent & Trademark Office	G ~ 6 2009 REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN 1 & TRADEMARK OFFICETION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK
_	liance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C istrict Court Northern District of	C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been
OCKET NO.		U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CV 09-03511 PVT	7/31/9	280 South First Street, Rm 2112, San Jose, CA 95113
LAINTIFF OPENWAVE SYSTE	EMS INC	DEFENDANT 724 SOLUTIONS (US)INC
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
6,430,409		SEE ATTACHED COMPLAINT
6,466,783		
6,742,022		
7,054,626		
In the abov	ve—entitled case, the following pate	
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
TRADEMARK NO.	OR TRADEMARK	TO A THE AT ON THE DESTRUCTION OF THE PARTY
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ve—entitled case, the following deci-	sion has been rendered or judgement issued:
ECISION/JUDGEMENT		
CDV	I/DUA DE	EDUTY OF EDV

Betty Walton

August 3, 2009

Richard W. Wieking

1 DANIEL JOHNSON, JR. (State Bar No. 57409) BRETT M. SCHUMAN (State Bar No. 189247) RYAN L. SCHER (State Bar No. 244706) MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 2 E-filing One Market, Spear Street Tower 3 San Francisco, CA 94105-1126 Tel: 415,442,1000 4 Fax: 415.442.1001 ORIGINAL FILED E-mail: djjohnson@morganlewis.com 5 E-mail: bschuman@morganlewis.com E-mail: rscher@morganlewis.com 6 JUL 3 1 2009 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff OPENWAVE SYSTEMS INC. RICHARD 智、智慧的图G 8 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GALIFORNIA 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 0.2_{No.} 3511 12 OPENWAVE SYSTEMS, INC., COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND a Delaware corporation, 13 INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 14 Plaintiff, DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 15 v. 16 724 SOLUTIONS (US) INC., a Delaware corporation, and 724 SOLUTIONS 17 SOFTWARE INC., a Delaware corporation, 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP AFTORNEYS AT LAW

26

27

28

1	Plaintiff OPENWAVE SYSTEMS, INC. ("OPENWAVE") alleges as follows:				
2	<u>PARTIES</u>				
3	1. Plaintiff OPENWAVE is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of				
4	business at 2100 Seaport Blvd. Redwood City, California, 94063. OPENWAVE is qualified and				
5	duly authorized to conduct business in the State of California.				
6	2. On information and belief, defendant 724 SOLUTIONS (US) INC. is a Delaware				
7	corporation with its principal place of business at 3916 State Street, Ste. 200, Santa Barbara,				
8	California, 93105.				
9	3. On information and belief, defendant 724 SOLUTIONS SOFTWARE INC. is a				
10	Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 3916 State Street, Ste. 200, Santa				
11	Barbara, California, 93105.				
12	JURISDICTION AND VENUE				
13	4. This is an action arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1				
14	et seq. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to				
15	28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 1338(a).				
16	5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over defendant 724 SOLUTIONS (US) INC.				
17	because 724 SOLUTIONS (US) INC. has its principal place of business in California.				
18	6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over 724 SOLUTIONS SOFTWARE INC.				
19	because 724 SOLUTIONS SOFTWARE INC. has its principal place of business in California.				
20	7. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) & (c) and				
21	1400(b).				
22	INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT				
23	8. Under Local Rule 3-2(c), this action shall be assigned on a district-wide basis.				
24	PATENTS-IN-SUIT				
25	9. OPENWAVE is the sole owner of U.S. Patent No. 6,430,409 (the "409 Patent"),				
26	filed on November 26, 1997 and issued on August 6, 2002, entitled "Method and Architecture for				
27	an Interactive Two-Way Data Communication Network." A true and correct copy of the '409				
28	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES				
&c	COM LANT FOR DAMAGES				

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit A.

- OPENWAVE is the sole owner of U.S. Patent No. 6,466,783 (the "'783 Patent"), 10. filed on June 8, 1998 and issued on October 15, 2002, entitled "Visual Interface to Mobile Subscriber Account Services." A true and correct copy of the '783 Patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit B.
- OPENWAVE is the sole owner of U.S. Patent No. 6,742,022 (the "022 Patent"), 11. filed on April 30, 1998 and issued on May 25, 2004, entitled "Centralized Service Management System for Two-Way Interactive Communication Devices in Data Networks." A true and correct copy of the '022 Patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit C.
- 12. OPENWAVE is the sole owner of U.S. Patent No. 7,054,626 (the "626 Patent"), filed on August 20, 2001 and issued on May 30, 2006, entitled "Method and Architecture for an Interactive Two-Way Data Communication Network." A true and correct copy of the '626 Patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit D.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,430,409)

- 13. OPENWAVE realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations stated in paragraphs 1 through 12 of this Complaint.
- On information and belief, 724 SOLUTIONS (US) INC. and 724 SOLUTIONS 14. SOFTWARE INC. (collectively "Defendants"), by engaging in the unauthorized manufacture (or causing to be manufactured), importation, use, sale and/or offer for sale of products that are covered by one or more of the claims of the '409 Patent, has committed acts of direct, contributory and/or inducement of infringement of one or more claims of the '409 Patent. These acts constitute violations of 35 U.S.C. § 271. Such infringing products include, but are not limited to, the Seamless Access solution, X-treme Mobility Suite, and X-treme Mobile Gateway.
- 15. On information and belief, Defendants' infringement of the '409 Patent is, has been, and continues to be willful and deliberate, entitling OPENWAVE to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

products that are covered by one or more of the claims of the '022 Patent, has committed acts of direct, contributory and/or inducement of infringement of one or more claims of the '022 Patent. These acts constitute violations of 35 U.S.C. § 271. Such infringing products include, but are not limited to, the Seamless Access solution, X-treme Mobility Suite, and X-treme Mobile Gateway.

- 25. On information and belief, Defendants' infringement of the '022 Patent is, has been, and continues to be willful and deliberate, entitling OPENWAVE to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 26. Such infringement has injured and damaged OPENWAVE. Unless enjoined by this Court, Defendants will continue its infringement, irreparably injuring OPENWAVE.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement of the '022 Patent, OPENWAVE has been and continues to be damaged in an amount yet to be determined.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,054,626)

- 28. OPENWAVE realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations stated in paragraphs 1 through 12 of this Complaint.
- 29. On information and belief, Defendants, by engaging in the unauthorized manufacture (or causing to be manufactured), importation, use, sale and/or offer for sale of products that are covered by one or more of the claims of the '626 Patent, has committed acts of direct, contributory and/or inducement of infringement of one or more claims of the '626 Patent. These acts constitute violations of 35 U.S.C. § 271. Such infringing products include, but are not limited to, the Seamless Access solution, X-treme Mobility Suite, and X-treme Mobile Gateway.
- 30. On information and belief, Defendants' infringement of the '626 Patent is, has been, and continues to be willful and deliberate, entitling OPENWAVE to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 31. Such infringement has injured and damaged OPENWAVE. Unless enjoined by this Court, Defendants will continue its infringement, irreparably injuring OPENWAVE.

- 4		
1	32.	As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement of the '626 Patent,
2	OPENWAVE	has been and continues to be damaged in an amount yet to be determined.
,3		PRAYER FOR RELIEF
4	WHEF	REFORE, OPENWAVE prays that this Court enter judgment as follows:
5	(A)	Adjudicating and declaring that Defendants have infringed, actively induced
6		infringement of, and/or contributorily infringed the Patents-In-Suit;
7	(B)	Preliminarily and permanently enjoining the Defendants, their officers, agents,
8		servants, employees, attorneys and all persons in active concert or participation
9		with them from further infringement of the Patents-In-Suit;
10	(C)	Ordering that Defendants account, and pay actual damages (but no less than a
11		reasonable royalty), to OPENWAVE for defendant's infringement of the Patents-
12		In-Suit;
13	(D)	Ordering that Defendants pay treble damages to OPENWAVE as provided by 35
14		U.S.C. § 284;
15	(E)	Ordering that Defendants pay OPENWAVE's costs, expenses, and interest,
16		including prejudgment interest, as provided for by 35 U.S.C. § 284;
17	· (F)	Declaring this case exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding OPENWAVI
18		its reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action; and
19	(G)	Granting OPENWAVE such other and further relief as the Court deems just and
20		appropriate, or that OPENWAVE may be entitled to as a matter of law or equity.
21	Dated: July 3	1, 2009 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
22		\mathcal{O}_{1}
23		By J
24		Brett M. Schuman Attorneys for Plaintiff
25		
26		
27		
9 (J	

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, OPENWAVE hereby requests a trial by jury.

5 Dated: July 31, 2009

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

Brett M. Schuman Attorneys for Plaintiff

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO